

Pain 88 (2000) 97-108

PAIN

www.elsevier.nl/locate/pain

Differential actions of spinal analgesics on mono-versus polysynaptic A δ fibre-evoked field potentials in superficial spinal dorsal horn in vitro

Ruth Ruscheweyh, Jürgen Sandkühler*

Institute of Physiology and Pathophysiology, Heidelberg University, Im Neuenheimer Feld 326, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Received 3 January 2000; received in revised form 29 March 2000; accepted 17 April 2000

Abstract

Processing of nociceptive information can be modulated at various levels in spinal cord that may range from changes of neurotransmitter release from primary afferent $A\delta$ - or C-fibres to excitability changes of spinal interneurones or motoneurones. The site and mechanism of action of spinal analgesics has been assessed with a number of in vivo and in vitro methods with sometimes conflicting results. Here, we have used transverse spinal cord slices with attached dorsal roots to simultaneously record mono- and polysynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potentials in superficial spinal dorsal horn. Two classical spinal analgesics, morphine and clonidine, and the metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (1*S*,3*R*)-1-aminocyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid ((1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD) differentially affected mono- and polysynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked transmission in spinal dorsal horn. Polysynaptic responses were dose-dependently inhibited while the monosynaptic response remained unaffected. These results suggest that spinal analgesics may preferentially affect polysynaptic but not monosynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked responses in superficial spinal dorsal horn. © 2000 International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: μ -Opiate receptor; α_2 -Adrenoceptor; Metabotropic glutamate receptor; Rat; Antinociception

1. Introduction

Nociceptive primary afferent A δ - and C-fibres terminate in superficial spinal dorsal horn where they make exclusively excitatory synaptic contacts with second order neurones. Nociceptive information is then transmitted via intercalated interneurones to ascending tract neurones and to motoneurones. Processing of nociceptive information can be inhibited or facilitated at all levels within spinal dorsal and ventral horns. Powerful endogenous antinociceptive systems exist that depress spinal nociception via activation of opioid receptors (mainly μ - and δ -opioid receptors), monoaminergic receptors (5-HT receptors and α_2 -adrenoceptors) and amino acid receptors (GABAA/B and glycine receptors). Spinal application of selective agonists at μ opioid-receptors or α_2 -adrenoceptors such as morphine and clonidine is now being used to treat acute and chronic pain (Onofrio and Yaksh, 1990; Eisenach et al., 1996). Intrathecal injections in awake, otherwise drug-free animals or humans (Yaksh, 1981; Eisenach et al., 2000) resemble the clinical situation but may be subject to potentially

confounding effects from attention, changes of blood pressure, sedation and/or motor impairment. A number of in vitro methods have been developed such as recording of dorsal root-evoked ventral root potentials (Faber et al., 1997). These motor responses are subject to drug effects in the motor system or ventral horn. For example μ -opiate receptors are also present in spinal cord ventral horn (Gouarderes et al., 1991; Ding et al., 1996). It is important to identify the afferent fibre type that evokes the response in spinal cord because noxious mechanical and heat-evoked (Wegert et al., 1997), as well as A δ - and C-fibre-evoked activities (Le Bars et al., 1976) may be differentially affected by spinal analgesics in vivo. Thus, spinal cord slice preparations should have long dorsal roots attached to allow identification of afferent fibre types by calculation of conduction velocity with sufficient precision. Evidence exists that the action of spinal analgesics may also be strikingly different at the first central synapse as compared to polysynaptic responses (Sastry and Goh, 1983; Magnuson and Dickenson, 1991).

Here, we have used a transverse spinal cord slice preparation with long dorsal roots attached to simultaneously record mono- and polysynaptic A δ -fibre-evoked extracellular field potentials in the superficial spinal dorsal horn. The effects of morphine and clonidine, two clinically used spinal analgesics

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-6221-544-052; fax: +49-6221-544-047.

E-mail address: sandkuhler@urz.uni-heidelberg.de (J. Sandkühler).

and an experimental neuroactive drug, the metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (1S,3R)-1-aminocyclopentane-1,3dicarboxylic acid ((1S,3R)-ACPD) on synaptic transmission were assessed. Some of these results have been published in form of an abstract (Ruscheweyh and Sandkühler, 1999).

2. Methods

2.1. Preparation of spinal cord slices

Transverse, 500- μ m thick slices each with one 7–14 mm long dorsal root attached were obtained from lumbar spinal cord of Sprague–Dawley rats (18–28 days old). Slices were incubated in a solution that consisted of (in mM): NaCl 124, KCl 5, KH₂PO₄ 1.3, CaCl₂ 2.4, MgSO₄ 1.3, NaHCO₃ 26, Glucose 15 and that was equilibrated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂, pH 7.4. A single slice was then transferred to the recording chamber where it was superfused with oxygenated recording solution at 3 ml/min. The recording solution was identical to the incubation solution except for (in mM) NaCl 127, KCl 1.9 and CaCl₂ 4.3. Experiments were conducted at room temperature (20–24°C).

2.2. Recording and stimulation techniques

Field potential recordings were made with glass microelectrodes from the superficial spinal dorsal horn. When filled with (in mM) NaCl 135, KCl 5.4, CaCl₂ 1.8, MgCl₂ 1, HEPES 5 (pH adjusted to 7.2 with NaOH), electrodes had DC tip resistances between 2 and 7 M Ω . A suction electrode was used for electrical stimulation of the dorsal root with a constant current stimulator (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota). Low-pass filter was set to 1000 Hz, amplification 500 × (Axopatch 200B, Axon Instruments). The field potentials were digitized by an A/D converter card (Data Translation DT 2821) and stored in a computer for off-line analysis using the software package Experimenter's Workbench, version 4.0 (Data Wave Technologies).

2.3. Experimental protocol

Recording sites were optimized for two clearly distinguishable signals in response to dorsal root stimulation. Thresholds were around 0.1 mA (0.1 ms pulse width), latencies were approximately 2.5 ms for the first signal and 10– 11 ms for the late signal, and amplitudes were at least 400 μ V. Test pulses were given at 15-s intervals and at a supramaximal intensity (0.7 mA at 0.1 ms). In some experiments, stimulus–response curves were determined and/or responses to ten consecutive pulses at 1 and 10 Hz were recorded. After obtaining stable amplitudes for both signals for at least 15 min, a drug was added to the superfusion solution. One experiment was performed per slice.

2.4. Data analysis

Two consecutive field potentials were averaged and

synaptic strength was quantified by measuring the baseline-to-peak amplitude of the first signal and the onset-topeak amplitude of the second signal (Fig. 1A). The latencies from the beginning of the stimulus artefact to the peak of each signal were also measured. The mean amplitudes and the mean latencies of ten averaged test responses prior to the drug application served as controls. The mean amplitudes and latencies of ten consecutive averaged responses at the end of the drug application were used to assess the effect of the drug. For calculating the conduction velocity, the latency from the beginning of the artefact to the onset of the first signal was measured. For the analysis of the responses to 1- and 10-Hz stimulation the original not averaged field potentials were used. All values were expressed as mean \pm SEM. Statistical comparisons were made using the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test. P < 0.05 was considered significant. The software package Mathematica, version 3.0 (Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL) was used.

2.5. Application of drugs

All drugs were added to the superfusion solution at known concentrations. Drugs and their sources were as follows: morphine hydrochloride (morphine; 1–3 μ M; Merck), clonidine hydrochloride (clonidine, 30–1000 nM, Sigma), naloxone hydrochloride (naloxone, 10 μ M, Sigma), (–)-bicuculline methiodide (bicuculline, 5 μ M, Sigma), strychnine (2 μ M, Sigma), D-2-amino-5-phosphovaleric acid (D-AP5, 25 μ M, RBI), 6-cyano-7-nitro-quinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX, 5 μ M, Tocris), (1*S*,3*R*)-1-aminocyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid ((1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD, 40 μ M, Tocris), *S*- α -methyl-4-carboxyphenylglycine (*S*-MCPG, 1 mM, Tocris). Atipamezole hydrochloride (atipamezole, 1 μ M) was kindly donated by Farmos Orion, Turku, Finland.

Stock solutions were prepared of each drug by dissolving morphine, clonidine, naloxone, atipamezole, D-AP5 and bicuculline in distilled water, strychnine and CNQX in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma) and (1S,3R)-ACPD and S-MCPG in NaOH (10 mM and 50 nM, respectively). Stock solutions were stored in aliquots at -20° C.

3. Results

Extracellular field potentials were recorded in superficial spinal dorsal horn in response to electrical stimulation of the dorsal root (0.7 mA, 0.1 ms) in the presence of a high Ca²⁺ (4.3 mM) recording solution (Fig. 1A). An early and a late potential could be distinguished. The early potential had a latency of 2.50 ± 0.02 ms (n = 7) that corresponds to a calculated conduction velocity of 4.9 ± 0.5 m/s when synaptic delay is assumed to be 1 ms. The late potential appeared 7.8 ± 0.1 ms after the early potential (n = 7). Both potentials had almost identical stimulus–response functions (Fig. 1B), suggesting that they were generated by the same type of afferent nerve fibres. The thresholds

were low (0.05–0.1 mA at 0.1 ms) and the intensity of test stimulation used in all experiments (0.7 mA, 0.1 ms) was supramaximal for both potentials.



Fig. 1. Properties of $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potentials in superficial spinal dorsal horn. (A) Illustration of original field potentials, evoked by electrical stimulation of the dorsal root (0.7 mA, 0.1 ms) and recorded in the superficial spinal dorsal horn. An early and a late potential following the artefact at time zero could easily be distinguished. The early potential had a latency of 2.5 ms that corresponds to a calculated conduction velocity of 4.9 ms. The late potential had a latency of approximately 10.3 ms. Amplitudes were measured from baseline to peak for the early potential and from onset to peak for the late potential as indicated by the dashed lines. (B) Mean stimulus-response functions for the early (open circles) and late potential (closed circles) at 0.1 ms pulse width were virtually identical in seven different slices. (C) Both potentials were abolished by bath application of the AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist CNQX (5 µM). CNQX inhibited the late potential more potently than the early potential. Original field potentials recorded immediately before application of CNQX (trace a) and after application of various concentrations of CNQX (traces b-d) are shown above the graph (calibration bars: 400 µV, 10 ms). The vertical bar indicates periods of superfusion at different concentrations of CNQX.

3.1. Effects of receptor antagonists of main excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters

Bath application of the NMDA receptor antagonist D-AP5 (50 μ M) induced a small inhibition of the late (to 92 ± 3% of control after 30 min, n = 7, P < 0.05, data not shown) but not the early (101 ± 3% of control) potential. Both potentials were almost completely depressed (early potential to 9 ± 1% of control, late potential to 4 ± 1% of control, n = 4, P < 0.01) by bath application of the AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist CNQX (5 μ M) in the presence of D-AP5 (50 μ M). This indicates that both potentials require activation of postsynaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors of the AMPA/kainate subtype. The late potential was more rapidly and more deeply depressed by CNQX than the early potential (Fig. 1C).

Bath application of the GABAA-receptor antagonist bicu-



Fig. 2. Receptor antagonists of inhibitory neurotransmitters differentially affected early and late potentials. (A) Bath application of the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (5 μ M horizontal bar) induced a fast and complete depression of the late potential (closed circles) that was partially reversible at wash-out. The early potential (open circles) remained unaffected. Above the graph, original traces of field potentials recorded immediately before application of bicuculline (trace c) are shown. (B) The glycine receptor antagonist strychnine (2 μ M) induced a depression of the late potential that was slower but similar in magnitude (closed circles). The early potential (open circles) was not affected. Above the graph, original traces of field potentials recorded immediately before (trace a) and 12 and 50 min after application of strychnine (traces b,c) are shown (calibration bars in A and B: 500 μ V, 10 ms).

culline (5 μ M) induced a fast and reversible inhibition of the late (10 ± 2% of control after 30 min, n = 5, P < 0.01) but not the early potential (102 ± 1% of control, Fig. 2A). Application of the glycine receptor antagonist strychnine (2 μ M) produced a slow depression of the late (13 ± 2% of control after 50 min, n = 5, P < 0.01) but not the early potential (99 ± 3% of control, Fig. 2B).

3.2. Early and late potentials are generated in the same region of the superficial spinal dorsal horn

In two experiments, detailed mappings of the field potential were obtained at 26 different recording sites throughout the spinal grey and white matter. An isopotential map was calculated for each moment in time after the stimulation using a polynomial fit. Fig. 3A shows the situation 3.5 ms after stimulation, corresponding to the latency to peak for the early potential. Fig. 3B shows the isopotential map 11.8 ms after stimulation, corresponding to the latency to peak for the late potential. The locations of the isopotential curves were similar for both potentials indicating that the two potentials were generated in the same region of the spinal cord.

3.3. Mono- versus polysynaptic nature of $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked spinal field potentials

The early potential has properties of a monosynaptic A δ fibre-evoked potential with respect to calculated conduction velocity and stimulation threshold. The late potential has properties of a polysynaptic potential: The late potential had a coefficient of variation of the amplitude 2.7 times larger than the early potential (Fig. 4A, coefficient of variation of the amplitude of 30 consecutive field potentials: 0.068 ± 0.004 for the late potential, 0.025 ± 0.002 for the early potential, n = 36, P < 0.01 for the difference) and a jitter of response latencies that was 3.5 times larger than for the early potential (Fig. 4B, standard deviation of latencies of 30 consecutive field potentials: 0.28 ± 0.02 ms for the late potential, 0.08 ± 0.02 ms for the early potential, n = 36, P < 0.01 for the difference). When applying ten pulses at 10-Hz stimulation to the dorsal root, the late potential was more strongly depressed (to $12 \pm 5\%$ of control) than the early potential (to $44 \pm 9\%$ of control, n = 10, P < 0.01, Fig. 4E). In contrast, stimulation with ten pulses given at 1 Hz equally depressed the two potentials (to $72 \pm 8\%$ of control for the early potential and to $72 \pm 15\%$ of control for the late potential) in nine experiments (Fig. 4D). Lowering the Ca²⁺ concentration from 4.3 to 2.4 mM depressed the late potential (to $44 \pm 8\%$ of control after 30 min, n = 7, P < 0.01) but not the early potential (105 \pm 2% of control, Fig. 4C).

3.4. Multiple spinal field potentials can be evoked by stimulation of dorsal root

The second ('late') potential consistently appeared about

8 ms after the early potential. In 38% of the experiments a small third potential and in one experiment a fourth potential were recorded with almost the same peak-to-peak latency of 8 ms (Fig. 5). This could be explained by a recurrent excitation in spinal dorsal horn.



Fig. 3. Isopotential curves of $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potentials of a representative experiment show that both potentials had their maximum amplitudes in the medial part of the superficial spinal dorsal horn. Isopotential curves were calculated on the base of recordings made at 26 different sites distributed throughout the white and grey matter of the slice with a focus on the ipsilateral dorsal horn. They are separated by 200 μ V. A drawing of the corresponding dorsal horn section is superimposed. SDH, superficial dorsal horn; CC, central canal; GM, grey matter; WM, white matter. (A) Map of isopotential curves of the field potential measured at the peak latency of the early potential in this experiment (3.5 ms). (B) Map of isopotential in this experiment (11.8 ms).



Fig. 4. Mono- vs. polysynaptic nature of the $A\delta$ -fibre- evoked field potentials. (A) The mean coefficient of variation of the field potential amplitude of 30 consecutive field potentials as a measure of the variability of the field potential amplitude was significantly larger for the late potential than for the early potential in 36 experiments. (B) The mean standard deviation of the field potential latency of 30 consecutive field potentials as a measure of the field potential than for the early potential in 36 experiments. (B) The mean standard deviation of the field potential latency of 30 consecutive field potentials as a measure of the field potential latency variability was significantly larger for the late potential than for the early potential in 36 experiments. (C) All experiments in this study were conducted in the presence of high Ca²⁺ (4.3 mM) to enhance the amplitude of the late potential. Lowering the Ca²⁺ concentration to 2.4 mM significantly depressed the late potential (closed circles) but did not affect the early potential (open circles). Above the graph, original traces of field potentials recorded immediately before lowering the Ca²⁺ concentration (trace a), and 30 min after lowering the Ca²⁺ concentration (trace b) are shown. (D,E) Ten pulses of 1 Hz stimulation depressed the early and the late potential to an equal extent. In contrast, stimulation with a higher frequency (ten pulses at 10 Hz) depressed the late potential more strongly than the early potential. Above the graphs, original traces of field potentials evoked by the first (trace a) and the tenth (trace b) pulse of 1- or 10-Hz stimulation, respectively, are shown (calibration bars in C–E: 500 μ V, 10 ms).

3.5. Differential effects of spinal analysics on the early and the late spinal field potential

The effects of morphine and clonidine on $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked early and late field potentials were tested. When added to the recording solution, morphine (1–10 μ M) and clonidine (30– 1000 nM) dose-dependently inhibited the late potential without affecting the early potential. The depressions by morphine (1 μ M) and clonidine (300 nM) were blocked by the opiatereceptor antagonist naloxone (10 μ M) or the α_2 -adrenoceptor antagonist atipamezole (1 μ M), respectively. Naloxone (10 μ M) and atipamezole (1 μ M) alone did not significantly affect neither potential. Table 1 summarizes the effects and Figs. 6 and 7 show the time courses.

3.6. Differential effects of (1S,3R)-ACPD on early versus late spinal field potentials

When the metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (1S,3R)-ACPD (40 μ M) was added to the bath solution a

fast and strong depression of the late potential was induced (to $36 \pm 4\%$ of control after 20 min, n = 4, P < 0.01). The depression by (1S,3R)-ACPD was fully reversible $(100 \pm 2\%$ of control for the early potential, $101 \pm 5\%$ of control for the late potential 25 min after wash-out). The inhibition of the late potential by (1S,3R)-ACPD was partially blocked by the non-specific metabotropic glutamate receptor antagonist *S*-MCPG (1 mM, depression to $79 \pm 6\%$ of control at 20 min, n = 2). The early potential was not affected by (1S,3R)-ACPD ($96 \pm 1\%$ of control, Fig. 8).

3.7. A positive correlation exists between amplitude reduction and increase in latency of the late spinal field potential

The reduction of amplitude of the late potential by either drug was accompanied by an increase in latency. This correlation is illustrated in Fig. 9A (coefficient of correlation: 0.59). Fig. 9B shows an example.



Fig. 5. In one experiment, four potentials were generated in the superficial spinal dorsal horn in response to electrical stimulation of the dorsal root. Peak-to-peak intervals were approximately 8 ms for all peaks. One possible explanation for this phenomenon would be the existence of a recurrent excitation in spinal cord dorsal horn.

4. Discussion

In spinal dorsal horn transmission of nociceptive information can be modulated at all levels, ranging from presynaptic modulation of neurotransmitter release at the central terminals of primary afferent A δ - or C fibres to pre- and postsynaptic modulation at higher order neurones. It is desirable to differentially monitor modulation at the first synapse versus modulation in polysynaptic pathways of A δ - and C-fibre-evoked activities. For example, the antinociceptive potency of spinal analgesics may vary considerably at different levels of the neuraxis (Sastry and Goh, 1983; Magnuson and Dickenson, 1991). In addition, central sensitization may be due to changes in neurotransmission at different levels of nociception (Lin et al., 1996; Sandkühler, 1996; Woolf, 1996). Here, we have characterized an in vitro method to simultaneously monitor mono- and polysynaptic field potentials that were evoked by impulses in primary afferent A δ -fibres. We found that two clinically used spinal

Table 1

Effects of morphine and clonidine on A δ -fibre-evoked early and late field potentials^a

analgesics, morphine and clonidine, and an experimental drug, the metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (1S,3R)-ACPD, preferentially affected polysynaptic but not monosynaptic A δ -fibre-evoked responses in spinal dorsal horn. We propose that this in vitro method may be suitable to evaluate the effects of neuroactive compounds at defined steps of nociception in spinal dorsal horn.

4.1. Characteristics of $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potentials recorded in superficial spinal dorsal horn

The mean latency of the late potential was consistently about 8 ms longer than that of the early potential. However, both potentials were elicited by impulses in the same type of primary afferent fibres as indicated by virtually identical stimulation thresholds and stimulus-response functions. It is likely that the late field potential involves three to four intercalated neurones that form a polysynaptic pathway if delay to spike initiation is considered to be 1.5-2 ms (Berry and Pentreath, 1976). In line with this, the late field potential was depressed more strongly by 10-Hz stimulation and displayed a larger jitter in latency and higher variability in amplitude than the early potential (Berry and Pentreath, 1976; Yoshimura and Jessell, 1989). Moreover, the late potential was significantly enhanced when the extracellular Ca²⁺ concentration was raised while the early potential was not affected.

Mapping studies revealed that both field potentials were generated at similar sites in superficial spinal dorsal horn. This could indicate that the intercalated neurones formed a reverberant circuit. Whenever a third or forth field potential was recorded they appeared at equal intervals of approximately 8 ms. This is consistent with the hypothesis of a recurrent excitation. The cytoarchitecture of spinal dorsal horn provides ample possibilities for reverberant pathways (Gobel, 1978; Woolf and King, 1987; Light and Kavookjian, 1988) possibly leading to rhythmic discharges of nociceptive spinal dorsal horn neurons (Eblen-Zajjur and

Morphine	Concentration 1 μM	n 6	Early potential		Late potential	
			105 ± 3		86 ± 4	*
-	3 µM	5	97 ± 2	n.s.	71 ± 7	**
	10 µM	5	95 ± 1	**	73 ± 7	**
Naloxone	10 µM	3	102 ± 4	n.s.	99 ± 1	n.s.
Naloxone/morphine	10 μM/3 μM	3	102 ± 0		96 ± 2	t
Clonidine	30 nM	5	104 ± 2	n.s.	90 ± 4	n.s.
	100 nM	6	98 ± 2	n.s.	72 ± 5	**
	300 nM	5	96 ± 4	n.s.	70 ± 6	**
	1 μM	5	108 ± 3	n.s.	68 ± 7	n.s
Atipamezole	1 μM	3	101 ± 3	n.s.	104 ± 8	n.s.
Atipamezole/clonidine	1 μM/300 nM	3	101 ± 3	n.s.	99 ± 8	n.s.

^a *n*, number of experiments; n.s., not significantly different from control (P > 0.05);*significantly different from control (P < 0.05); *significantly different from control (P < 0.01); *significantly different from morphine (3 μ M) alone (P < 0.01), also significantly different from control (P < 0.01).



Fig. 6. Morphine dose-dependently depressed the late potential (closed circles) but not the early potential (open circles). (A–C) Mean time courses of inhibition by different concentrations of morphine (1–10 μ M horizontal bars) are shown. (D) In the presence of naloxone (10 μ M), morphine (3 μ M) failed to depress the late potential. (E) The dose–response curves for morphine are shown.

Sandkühler, 1997). It has been proposed that a positive feedback mechanism may amplify the transmission of nociceptive information in spinal dorsal horn (Cadden, 1993; Sandkühler, 1996).

4.2. Neurotransmitter receptors involved in the generation of mono- and polysynaptic field potentials

It is generally accepted that glutamate or a related amino acid is the neurotransmitter of primary afferent nerve fibres, including A δ -fibres (Gerber and Randic, 1989; Yoshimura and Jessell, 1990). Fast synaptic transmission is mediated by activation of AMPA/kainate-receptors on second order neurones (Yoshimura and Jessell, 1990). Activation of NMDA receptors typically adds a slow component to the monosynaptic EPSP, with little effect on amplitudes (Gerber and Randic, 1989; Yoshimura and Jessell, 1990). Consistently in our experiments, field potentials were abolished by bath application of the AMPA/kainate-receptor antagonist CNQX, while the NMDA-receptor antagonist D-AP5 had no effect on amplitudes of the monosynaptic field potentials. Polysynaptic responses may be more sensitive to NMDA-receptor blockade (Yoshimura and Jessell, 1990) and consistently, the late potential was slightly but significantly depressed by D-AP5.

Stimulation of primary afferent $A\delta$ -fibres may also excite glycinergic or GABAergic inhibitory neurones in spinal dorsal horn. $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked disynaptic IPSPs share some



Fig. 7. Clonidine dose-dependently depressed the late potential (closed circles) but not the early potential (open circles). (A–D) Mean time courses of inhibition by different concentrations of clonidine (30–1000 nM horizontal bars) are shown. (E) In the presence of atipamezole (1 μ M), clonidine (300 nM) failed to depress the late potential. (F) The dose–response curves for clonidine are shown.

common features with the presently described polysynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked potential in that both signals are abolished by CNQX, strychnine and bicuculline (Yoshimura and Nishi, 1995). However, the polysynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potential is probably excitatory and its long latency cannot be explained by disynaptic transmission but by oligosynaptic transmission with three to four intercalated neurones. If the late field potential should not reflect IPSPs, its sensitivity to bicuculline and strychnine could be explained by removal of a tonic disinhibition. For example, GABAergic or glycinergic interneurones could toni-

cally inhibit inhibitory neurones that use another inhibitory transmitter. GABAergic terminals presynaptic to enkephalinergic cell bodies and terminals have been found in laminae I and II (Liu et al., 1992) and the release of enkephalin-like material from the spinal cord is inhibited by GABA (Cesselin et al., 1984), suggesting that tonic disinhibition exists in spinal dorsal horn.

Spinal application of bicuculline or strychnine induced allodynia in behaving animals (Onaka et al., 1996) suggesting that excitation of primary afferent A β -fibres may gain access to nociceptive pathways in spinal cord. Here, A δ -

fibre-evoked responses were not facilitated but strongly suppressed, further supporting that these afferents are not responsible for the A β -fibre-mediated allodynia.

4.3. An in vitro screening method for potential spinal analgesics

The spinal actions of neuroactive drugs including spinal analgesics can be assessed by a number of well established techniques that range from intrathecal injections in awake, otherwise drug-free animals or humans (Yaksh, 1981) to rapid drug application to excised membrane patches of spinal neurones (Jonas et al., 1998). Evidence exists that cell dialysis has precluded detection of the postsynaptic effects of morphine in whole-cell patch-clamp recordings (Schneider et al., 1998), a problem that is not existent in studies using extracellular recordings. The field potentials studied here are evoked by $A\delta$ -fibres that comprise functionally different classes of sensory afferents including highand low-threshold mechanoreceptors and cold receptors (Leem et al., 1993). Thus, A δ -fibre-evoked field potentials are probably not purely nociceptive signals. In contrast to reflex studies the presently characterized field potentials cannot be confounded by effects on the motor system. All slices were obtained from rats more that were at least 18 days old, making developmental influences unlikely (Fitzgerald and Jennings, 1999). We therefore propose that the present in vitro model of spinal nociception may be useful to assess effects of neuroactive drugs on mono- and polysynaptically A δ -fibre-evoked activity in the mature spinal dorsal horn.



Fig. 8. Bath application of the group I and II metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist, (1S,3R)-ACPD (40 μ M), rapidly and strongly depressed the late potential (closed circles) while leaving the early potential (open circles) almost unaffected. These effects were completely reversible at wash-out. Above the graph, original traces of field potentials recorded immediately before application of (1S,3R)-ACPD (trace a), after wash-in (trace b) and after wash-out of (1S,3R)-ACPD (trace c) are shown (calibration bars: 500 μ V, 10 ms).

4.4. Selective depression of the polysynaptic spinal field potential by morphine and clonidine

4.4.1. Morphine

Morphine acts predominantly on μ -opiate receptors (Adams et al., 1994) that are abundant in the superficial laminae of the dorsal horn (Besse et al., 1990). μ -Opiate receptors, however, are also present in deeper laminae of the dorsal horn and in the ventral horn (Besse et al., 1990; Gouarderes et al., 1991; Ding et al., 1996). Rhizotomy studies (Besse et al., 1990) and single cell recordings (Zieglgänsberger and Bayerl, 1976; Yoshimura and North, 1983; Schneider et al., 1998; Kohno et al., 1999) suggest that μ -opiate receptors are located on both intrinsic spinal neurones



Fig. 9. The drug-induced amplitude depression of the late potential was positively correlated with a shift to longer peak latencies of the late potential. (A) Each symbol represents the depression of the amplitude of the late potential and the corresponding latency shift after application of a drug in a single experiment. The coefficient of correlation between amplitude depression and latency shift was 0.59. (circle: clonidine 30–1000 nM; diamond: morphine 1–10 μ M; triangle: (1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD 40 μ M; star: after lowering the Ca²⁺ concentration to 2.4 mM). (B) Results from a single experiment with application of (1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD (40 μ M) are shown. (1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD induced a strong and fully reversible shift in latency of the late potential (closed circles) but not the early potential. Above the graph, original traces of field potentials recorded immediately before application of (1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD (trace a), after wash-in (trace b) and after wash-out of (1*S*,3*R*)-ACPD (trace c) are shown (calibration bars: 500 μ V, 10 ms).

and central terminals of primary afferents. The relative distribution of μ -opiate receptors on terminals of A δ - and C-fibres, however, is not known.

Extracellularly recorded $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked responses of single dorsal horn cells were depressed by intravenous morphine (Le Bars et al., 1976). In vitro whole cell patch clamp recordings revealed that μ -opioids depressed presumably monosynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked EPSCs in laminae I and II neurones. This depression was significantly smaller than that of miniature EPSCs suggesting that μ opioid receptor agonists may preferentially block synaptic transmission of spinal interneurones (Kohno et al., 1999). It has been proposed that morphine preferentially affects polysynaptic potentials that are dependent on spatial and temporal summation (Zieglgänsberger and Bayerl, 1976). The present study supports this notion as application of morphine dose-dependently and selectively depressed the polysynaptic but not the monosynaptic potential.

In contrast to the mixed effects on the responses of neurones in superficial spinal dorsal horn (Sastry and Goh, 1983; Magnuson and Dickenson, 1991), neuronal responses in deeper laminae were consistently depressed by morphine (Zieglgänsberger and Bayerl, 1976; Sastry and Goh, 1983; Magnuson and Dickenson, 1991). The depression of the late field potential by morphine in the present study might be due to a polysynaptic pathway passing through or being modulated by neurones in deeper laminae.

The potency of morphine in the present study was about one-tenth of the potency of morphine on dorsal root-evoked ventral root potentials (Faber et al., 1997). This may be explained by the presence of μ -opiate receptors in the ventral horn that exert an additional inhibition. Another factor influencing the potency of morphine is the extracellular Ca²⁺ concentration that was elevated in our experiments. Morphine and clonidine inhibit transmitter release at least partially by blocking voltage gated presynaptic Ca²⁺ channels, and this effect is reduced at elevated extracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations (Araujo and Collier, 1987). The efficacy of μ -opiate receptor agonists ranges between 30 and 100% (Glaum et al., 1994; Ossipov et al., 1997; Kohno et al., 1999). Here, the maximal depression by morphine of polysynaptic A δ -fibre evoked field potentials was about 30%.

In conclusion, our results suggest that morphine depresses $A\delta$ -fibre-mediated responses mainly by acting on μ -opiate receptors that are located on spinal interneurones and not on central terminals of primary afferent $A\delta$ -fibres.

4.4.2. Clonidine

Clonidine is a widely used spinal analgesic (Eisenach et al., 1996, 2000; Fairbanks et al., 2000). The analgesia seems to be mediated mainly through activation of α_{2A} -receptors (Stone et al., 1997) that are abundant on terminals in laminae I and II of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (Stone et al., 1998). Rhizotomy studies (Stone et al., 1998) and the

presence of α_{2A} -mRNA in dorsal root ganglion cells (Nicholas et al., 1993) suggest that α_{2A} -adrenoceptors are located on central terminals of primary afferent nerve fibres. In addition, there are also intrinsic lamina I and II cells that express α_{2A} -adrenoceptors (Nicholas et al., 1993).

Extracellular single unit recordings have shown that nociceptive responses of spinal dorsal horn neurones are depressed by spinal application of α_2 -adrenoceptor agonists (Fleetwood-Walker et al., 1985; Hamalainen and Pertovaara, 1995). Here, clonidine dose-dependently and selectively depressed the polysynaptic but not the monosynaptic A δ -fibre-evoked responses. This effect is very similar to that observed with morphine and possible explanations are as discussed above for morphine. The potency of clonidine to depress polysynaptic A δ -fibre-evoked responses was comparable to its potency to attenuate dorsal root-evoked ventral root potentials (Faber et al., 1997).

Most comparative studies found that clonidine is more potent than morphine in depressing nociceptive responses, the potency ratio varying between 4:1 and 60:1 (clonidine/ morphine) on a molar basis (Ness and Gebhart, 1989; Faber et al., 1997; Ossipov et al., 1997). Consistently, we found a potency ratio of about 30:1 (clonidine/morphine).

4.5. The metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (1S,3R)-ACPD depresses the polysynaptic potential

Up to now, eight different metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) have been identified that are assigned to groups I-III (Pin and Duvoisin, 1995). (1S,3R)-ACPD acts as an agonist on group I and group II mGluRs that are present on cell bodies and terminals in laminae I-III of spinal dorsal horn (Jia et al., 1999). Spinal mGluRs may be involved in both induction of hyperalgesia and analgesia. Activation of spinal mGluRs may be necessary for the development of hyperalgesia (Meller et al., 1993) but intrathecal application of (1S,3R)-ACPD does not consistently produce nociceptive behaviour (Fisher and Coderre, 1996). While (1S,3R)-ACPD potentiates the responses elicited by AMPA and NMDA in isolated spinal cord dorsal horn neurones (Cerne and Randic, 1992), nociceptive responses of spinothalamic tract neurones are not affected by (1S,3R)-ACPD (Palecek et al., 1994). Nociceptive discharges of spinal dorsal horn neurones were inhibited by (1S,3R)-ACPD in animals with a peripheral inflammation but were potentiated in control animals (Stanfa and Dickenson, 1998). In the presence of bicuculline and strychnine but not in normal recording solution, (1S,3R)-ACPD induced a long term depression of $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked responses in superficial spinal dorsal horn neurones (Chen et al., 2000). The present results confirm that in normal recording solution, monosynaptic A δ -fibre-evoked responses are not much affected. However, polysynaptic A δ -fibre-evoked responses were found to be strongly and reversibly inhibited by bath application of (1S,3R)-ACPD.

5. Conclusions

The present model allows to simultaneously record mono- and polysynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potentials in superficial spinal dorsal horn and to gauge the relative importance of the antinociceptive actions of spinal analgesics at these two different sites. The classical spinal analgesics morphine and clonidine were found to preferentially modulate polysynaptic versus monosynaptic transmission. This model may be useful to study mechanisms of $A\delta$ fibre-mediated analgesia and hyperalgesia in superficial spinal dorsal horn.

Acknowledgements

Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grants Sa 435/9-2 and Sa 435/10-2) and by a grant from the Pain Research Programme of the Medical Faculty of Heidelberg.

References

- Adams JU, Geller EB, Adler MW. Receptor selectivity of i.c.v. morphine in the rat cold water tail-flick test. Drug Alcohol Depend 1994;35:197– 202.
- Araujo DM, Collier B. Do presynaptic opiate receptors and α-adrenoceptors alter acetylcholine release from a sympathetic ganglion by a similar mechanism? Eur J Pharmacol 1987;139:179–186.
- Berry MS, Pentreath VW. Criteria for distinguishing between monosynaptic and polysynaptic transmission. Brain Res 1976;105:1–20.
- Besse D, Lombard MC, Zajac JM, Roques BP, Besson JM. Pre- and postsynaptic distribution of μ , δ and κ opioid receptors in the superficial layers of the cervical dorsal horn of the rat spinal cord. Brain Res 1990;521:15–22.
- Cadden SW. The ability of inhibitory controls to 'switch-off' activity in dorsal horn convergent neurones in the rat. Brain Res 1993;628:65–71.
- Cerne R, Randic M. Modulation of AMPA and NMDA responses in rat spinal dorsal horn neurons by trans-1-aminocyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid. Neurosci Lett 1992;144:180–184.
- Cesselin F, Bourgoin S, Artaud F, Hamon M. Basic and regulatory mechanisms of in vitro release of Met-enkephalin from the dorsal zone of the rat spinal cord. J Neurochem 1984;43:763–774.
- Chen J, Heinke B, Sandkühler J. Activation of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors induces long-term depression at sensory synapses in superficial spinal dorsal horn. Neuropharmacology 2000, in press.
- Ding YQ, Kaneko T, Nomura S, Mizuno N. Immunohistochemical localization of μ -opioid receptors in the central nervous system of the rat. J Comp Neurol 1996;367:375–402.
- Eblen-Zajjur AA, Sandkühler J. Synchronity of nociceptive and non-nociceptive adjacent neurons in the spinal dorsal horn of the rat: stimulusinduced plasticity. Neuroscience 1997;76:39–54.
- Eisenach JC, De Kock M, Klimscha W. α_2 -Adrenergic agonists for regional anesthesia. A clinical review of clonidine (1984–1995). Anesthesiology 1996;85:655–674.
- Eisenach JC, Hood DD, Curry R. Relative potency of epidural to intrathecal clonidine differs between acute thermal pain and capsaicin-induced allodynia. Pain 2000;84:57–64.
- Faber ES, Chambers JP, Brugger F, Evans RH. Depression of A- and Cfibre-evoked segmental reflexes by morphine and clonidine in the in vitro spinal cord of the neonatal rat. Br J Pharmacol 1997;120:1390– 1396.
- Fairbanks CA, Posthumus IJ, Kitto KF, Stone LS, Wilcox GL. Moxonidine,

a selective imidazoline/alpha(2) adrenergic receptor agonist, synergizes with morphine and deltorphin II to inhibit substance P-induced behavior in mice. Pain 2000;84:13–20.

- Fisher K, Coderre TJ. Comparison of nociceptive effects produced by intrathecal administration of mGluR agonists. NeuroReport 1996;7:2743–2747.
- Fitzgerald M, Jennings E. The postnatal development of spinal sensory processing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:7719–7722.
- Fleetwood-Walker SM, Mitchell R, Hope PJ, Molony V, Iggo A. An α_2 receptor mediates the selective inhibition by noradrenaline of nociceptive responses of identified dorsal horn neurones. Brain Res 1985;334:243–254.
- Gerber G, Randić M. Excitatory amino acid-mediated components of synaptically evoked input from dorsal roots to deep dorsal horn neurons in the rat spinal cord slice. Neurosci Lett 1989;106:211–219.
- Glaum SR, Miller RJ, Hammond DL. Inhibitory actions of δ_1 -, δ_2 -, and μ opioid receptor agonists on excitatory transmission in lamina II neurons of adult rat spinal cord. J Neurosci 1994;14:4965–4971.
- Gobel S. Golgi studies of the neurons in layer II of the dorsal horn of the medulla (trigeminal nucleus caudalis). J Comp Neurol 1978;180:395– 413.
- Gouarderes C, Beaudet A, Zajac JM, Cros J, Quirion R. High resolution radioautographic localization of [125 I]FK-33-824- labelled μ -opioid receptors in the spinal cord of normal and deafferented rats. Neuroscience 1991;43:197–209.
- Hamalainen MM, Pertovaara A. The antinociceptive action of an α_2 -adrenoceptor agonist in the spinal dorsal horn is due to a direct spinal action and not to activation of descending inhibition. Brain Res Bull 1995;37:581–587.
- Jia H, Rustioni A, Valtschanoff JG. Metabotropic glutamate receptors in superficial laminae of the rat dorsal horn. J Comp Neurol 1999;410:627–642.
- Jonas P, Bischofberger J, Sandkühler J. Corelease of two fast neurotransmitters at a central synapse. Science 1998;281:419–424.
- Kohno T, Kumamoto E, Higashi H, Shimoji K, Yoshimura M. Actions of opioids on excitatory and inhibitory transmission in substantia gelatinosa of adult rat spinal cord. J Physiol (Lond) 1999;518:803–813.
- Le Bars D, Guilbaud G, Jurna I, Besson JM. Differential effects of morphine on responses of dorsal horn lamina V type cells elicited by A- and Cfibre stimulation in the spinal cat. Brain Res 1976;115:518–524.
- Leem JW, Willis WD, Chung JM. Cutaneous sensory receptors in the rat foot. J Neurophysiol 1993;69:1684–1712.
- Light AR, Kavookjian AM. Morphology and ultrastructure of physiologically identified substantia gelatinosa (lamina II) neurons with axons that terminate in deeper dorsal horn laminae (III–V). J Comp Neurol 1988;267:172–189.
- Lin Q, Peng YB, Willis WD. Inhibition of primate spinothalamic tract neurons by spinal glycine and GABA is reduced during central sensitization. J Neurophysiol 1996;76:1005–1014.
- Liu H, Llewellyn-Smith IJ, Pilowsky P, Basbaum AI. Ultrastuctural evidence for GABA-mediated disinhibitory circuits in the spinal cord of the cat. Neurosci Lett 1992;138:183–187.
- Magnuson DSK, Dickenson AH. Lamina-specific effects of morphine and naloxone in dorsal horn of rat spinal cord in vitro. J Neurophysiol 1991;66:1941–1950.
- Meller ST, Dykstra CL, Gebhart GF. Acute mechanical hyperalgesia is produced by coactivation of AMPA and metabotropic glutamate receptors. NeuroReport 1993;4:879–882.
- Ness TJ, Gebhart GF. Differential effects of morphine and clonidine on visceral and cutaneous spinal nociceptive transmission in the rat. J Neurophysiol 1989;62:220–230.
- Nicholas AP, Pieribone V, Hökfelt T. Distributions of mRNAs for α₂adrenergic receptor subtypes in rat brain: an in situ hybridization study. J Comp Neurol 1993;328:575–594.
- Onaka M, Minami T, Nishihara I, Ito S. Involvement of glutamate receptors in strychnine- and bicuculline- induced allodynia in conscious mice. Anesthesiology 1996;84:1215–1222.

- Onofrio BM, Yaksh TL. Long-term pain relief produced by intrathecal morphine infusion in 53 patients. J Neurosurg 1990;72:200–209.
- Ossipov MH, Lopez Y, Bian D, Nichols ML, Porreca F. Synergistic antinociceptive interactions of morphine and clonidine in rats with nerveligation injury. Anesthesiology 1997;86:196–204.
- Palecek J, Paleckova V, Dougherty PM, Willis WD. The effect of trans-ACPD, a metabotropic excitatory amino acid receptor agonist, on the responses of primate spinothalamic tract neurons. Pain 1994;56:261– 269.
- Pin J-P, Duvoisin R. The metabotropic glutamate receptors: structure and functions. Neuropharmacology 1995;34:1–26.
- Ruscheweyh R, Sandkühler J. Differential action of spinal analgesics on mono- and polysynaptic $A\delta$ -fibre-evoked field potentials in spinal dorsal horn in vitro. Abstracts of the 9th world congress on pain, Seattle, WA: IASP Press, 1999. p. 416.
- Sandkühler J. Neurobiology of spinal nociception: new concepts. In: Carli G, Zimmermann M, editors. Towards the neurobiology of chronic pain. Progress in brain research, 110. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1996. pp. 207– 224.
- Sastry BR, Goh JW. Actions of morphine and met-enkephalin-amide on nociceptor driven neurones in substantia gelatinosa and deeper dorsal horn. Neuropharmacology 1983;22:119–122.
- Schneider SP, Eckert WA, Light AR. Opioid-activated postsynaptic, inward rectifying potassium currents in whole cell recordings in substantia gelatinosa neurons. J Neurophysiol 1998;80:2954–2962.
- Stanfa LC, Dickenson AH. Inflammation alters the effects of mGlu-receptor agonists on spinal nociceptive neurones. Eur J Pharmacol 1998;347:165–172.
- Stone LS, MacMillan LB, Kitto KF, Limbird LE, Wilcox GL. The $\alpha_{2A^{-}}$ adrenergic receptor subtype mediates spinal analgesia evoked by $\alpha_{2A^{-}}$

agonists and is necessary for spinal adrenergic-opioid synergy. J Neurosci 1997;17:7157-7165.

- Stone LS, Broberger C, Vulchanova L, Wilcox GL, Hökfelt T, Riedl MS, Elde R. Differential distribution of α_{2A} and α_{2C} -adrenergic receptor immunoreactivity in the rat spinal cord. J Neurosci 1998;18:5928–5937.
- Wegert S, Ossipov MH, Nichols ML, Bian D, Vanderah TW, Malan TPJ, Porreca F. Differential activities of intrathecal MK-801 or morphine to alter responses to thermal and mechanical stimuli in normal or nerveinjured rats. Pain 1997;71:57–64.
- Woolf CJ. Windup and central sensitization are not equivalent. Pain 1996;66:105–108.
- Woolf CJ, King AE. Physiology and morphology of multireceptive neurons with C-afferent fiber inputs in the deep dorsal horn of the rat lumbar spinal cord. J Neurophysiol 1987;58:460–479.
- Yaksh TL. Spinal opiate analgesia: characteristics and principles of action. Pain 1981;2:293–346.
- Yoshimura M, Jessell TM. Primary afferent-evoked synaptic responses and slow potential generation in rat substantia gelatinosa neurons in vitro. J. Neurophysiol. 1989;62:96–108.
- Yoshimura M, Jessell T. Amino acid-mediated EPSPs at primary afferent synapses with substantia gelatinosa neurones in the rat spinal cord. J Physiol (Lond) 1990;430:315–335.
- Yoshimura M, Nishi S. Primary afferent-evoked glycine- and GABAmediated IPSPs in substantia gelatinosa neurones in the rat spinal cord in vitro. J Physiol (Lond) 1995;482:29–38.
- Yoshimura Y, North RA. Substantia gelatinosa neurones hyperpolarized in vitro by enkephalin. Nature 1983;305:529–530.
- Zieglgänsberger W, Bayerl J. The mechanism of inhibition of neuronal activity by opiates in the spinal cord of cat. Brain Res 1976;115:111–128.